

ETHICS AND MALPRACTICE STATEMENT FOR *JURIDICAL TRIBUNE JOURNAL*

Rights and responsibilities of authors, reviewers and editors of the *Juridical Tribune Journal* are presented below.


RIGHTS AND RESPONSIBILITIES OF AUTHORS

Originality article. Citing sources. Responsibility for plagiarism. By submitting an article to the *Juridical Tribune Journal* for publication, the author(s) warrant that the manuscript is their own, original work and that it has neither been published previously nor is currently being considered for publication elsewhere. They also warrant that the sources of any ideas and/or words in the manuscript that are not their own have been properly attributed through appropriate bibliographical references and using quotes. In case of breach of copyright law/ in case of plagiarism, the entire responsibility rests with the author of the article. The editorial board of "Juridical Tribune" does not assume any liability for infringement by the authors of the regulations included in copyright legislation and the achievement of plagiarism. If discovered a case of violation of copyright law/plagiarism, the manuscript will be rejected or a published article will be retracted. The editorial board of *Juridical Tribune Journal* is exempted from any liability regarding the author's points of view included in the published articles.

Elaboration of the manuscript shall meet the requirements for editing. The manuscript submitted must comply with the requirements for writing under [the Author Guidelines for Juridical Tribune Journal](#).

Not accepting redundant publication. The *Juridical Tribune Journal* does not accept works in the same language that have already been submitted in part or in full in an article published or which are described in another article sent or accepted by other electronic or printed publications. In case of a redundant publication, the manuscript will be rejected or a published article will be retracted.


Accurate presentation of the primary data. Underlying data (statistical indicators, legal regulations, jurisprudential solutions, doctrinal opinions, polls and so on) should be represented accurately in the manuscript. Knowingly presenting false or falsified data may be grounds for rejection or withdrawal of the manuscript of the article published. The fabrication of results and the making of fraudulent or knowingly inaccurate statements may be cause for rejection or retraction of a manuscript or published article.

Authorizations for reproduction and copyright. Authors are responsible for obtaining written permission to include any images/artwork or documents/texts for which they do not hold copyright in their articles, or to adapt any such images/artwork or documents/texts for inclusion in their articles. The copyright holder must be made explicitly aware that the images/artwork or documents/texts will be made freely available online as part of the article under *the Creative Commons Attribution – NonCommercial – NoDerivs 3.0 Unported License* .

Giving the names of those who have contributed to this article. The authors' names should be listed on the article in order of their contribution to the article. All authors take responsibility for their own contributions. Only those individuals who have made a substantive contribution should be listed as authors; those whose contributions are indirect or marginal (e.g., those who provided proofreading or translation of materials, the doctorate coordinators/heads of research centers, which have guided/allowed the realization of manuscript by the authors, people who have financed the research narrated in manuscript) should be named in an “Acknowledgments” section at the end of the article, immediately preceding the Bibliography. The corresponding author must ensure that all appropriate co-authors and no inappropriate co-authors are included on the article, and that all listed co-authors have seen and approved the final version of the article and agreed to its publication.

Mention sources of financial support. Sources of financial support of the research materialized in manuscript (grants, scholarships etc.) should be mentioned in the paper in a footnote attached to the title of the article.

Prohibition to give the manuscript to other publications. Manuscripts submitted for publication purposes may not be offer to other publications, than after receiving the response in the sense that the material can not be published in the *Juridical Tribune Journal* or after 6 months when it was registered the material at journal editorial office and it was not received a refuse of publication.

Copyright protections of the articles published in *Juridical Tribune Journal*. The author(s) of a manuscript agree that if the manuscript is accepted for publication in *Juridical Tribune Journal*, the published article will be copyrighted using *the Creative Commons Attribution – NonCommercial – NoDerivs 3.0 Unported License* . This means that all content of *Juridical Tribune Journal* in the online version on the site www.tribunajuridica.eu is freely available without charge to the user or his/her institution. Users are allowed to read, download, copy, distribute, print, search, or link to the full texts of the articles in this journal without asking prior permission from the publisher or the author, but subject to the following conditions:

- is mentioned the name(s) of the author(s), article title, that article is published in Juridical Tribune Journal and indicate page/pages cited of the journal;
- exactly reproduction of the material when it is quoted, used, discussed or multiplied;
- the copyright of the author(s) may not be infringed in any way.

Sending the manuscripts by e-mail only. Materials for publication shall be submitted by authors exclusively electronically by e-mail: office@tribunajuridica.eu .

Assumption of Liability Declaration. Authors whose manuscripts have been selected for publication in *Juridical Tribune Journal* will need to complete an [Assumption of Liability Declaration](#) that the manuscript belongs, that the sources were quoted correctly, that they did not reproduce material from unauthorized sources and that the manuscript has not already been published elsewhere.

Discovery of significant errors or inaccuracies in articles published. Where an author discovers a significant error or inaccuracy in an article of his/hers that has been published in *Juridical Tribune Journal*, he/she has an obligation to promptly notify the editors and cooperate with them to correct the article or retract it as appropriate.

Right of authors to receive a free copy of the journal. After publishing the article in *Juridical Tribune Journal*, every author is entitled to receive a copy of the printed journal, which will be sent to the address given by him. Courier delivery charges will be paid by the authors.

RIGHTS AND RESPONSIBILITIES OF REVIEWERS

Evaluation system. The manuscripts received for publication in the *Juridical Tribune Journal* will be revised using the „*double blind*” *peer review* system, respecting international standards of scientific journals. Thus, any article submitted for publication will be reviewed by three specialists with a national and international reputation in legal sciences. Review will be done on an anonymous basis (author's name is not communicated to the reviewers; reviewers name is not communicated to the author). If necessary, the authors will receive recommendations and observations to improve the quality of their paper's content. It is possible to refuse publication of the article to the proposal made by reviewers.

Status of reviewers. Scientific reviewers of the *Juridical Tribune Journal* operates on a voluntary basis, part-time. Scientific reviewers will complete at beginning of the activity a form with their areas of competence. The editor in chief will send the manuscript for evaluation to at least 2 reviewers with scientific competence in the subject of research, together with an evaluation form. The reviewers who have accepted manuscript assessment tasks must submit completed evaluation form later than **three weeks** of receiving it. Reviewers are free to decline invitations to review particular manuscripts if their current employment workload and/or other commitments make it prohibitive for them to complete a review in a timely fashion and to do justice to the task in the available timeframe. The reviewers are obliged to refuse an invitation to evaluate a manuscript if the manuscript content does not match their area of expertise or in the event of a conflict of interest (e.g., one resulting from competitive, collaborative, or other relationships or connections with institutions, or companies associated with the manuscript).

Confidentiality of information and ideas obtained through the peer review process. Privileged information or ideas obtained by reviewers through the peer review process must be kept

confidential and not used for personal advantage. Any manuscripts received for review must be treated as confidential documents, and must not be shown to or discussed with others except as authorized by the Editor in chief of *Juridical Tribune Journal*.

Objectivity of the evaluation. When conducting their reviews, reviewers are asked to do so as objectively as possible, refraining from engaging in personal criticism of the author(s). They are encouraged to express their views clearly, explaining and justifying all recommendations made. They should always attempt to provide detailed and constructive feedback to assist the author(s) in improving their work.

Content of evaluation. Reviewers will complete the **Evaluation Form** sent of the Editor in chief. In the **Evaluation Form**, reviewers should include the appreciations regarding the framing of the subject in journal theme, the topic relevance and degree of scientific novelty, knowledge of relevant literature in the field, consistency and quality of writing style, research methods used by the author(s), the logic of scientific arguments, the correct use of bibliographic sources. Reviewers should call to the Editor in chief attention any major resemblances between a manuscript under consideration and other published articles or papers of which they are aware, as well as any concerns they might have in relation to the ethical acceptability of the research reported in the manuscript. In conducting the evaluation, reviewers will take into account the provisions of [COPE Ethical Guidelines for Peer Reviewers](#) published by *Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE)*. Following the evaluation, reviewers can recommend: publication of the article, publication of the article only if it will be amended in accordance with the observations made in the Evaluation Form; not publishing the article.

RIGHTS AND RESPONSIBILITIES OF EDITORS

The final decision of publishing. The Editor in chief has ultimate responsibility for deciding if a manuscript submitted to *Juridical Tribune Journal* should be published, and in doing so is guided by the evaluations carried out by scientific reviewers, by the provisions of this *Ethics and Malpractice Statement* and by the copyright law. The Editor in chief may consult with other members of the Editorial Board team, as well as with reviewers, in making publication decisions.

Objectivity of the evaluation. Prohibition of discrimination on grounds related to the person of the author. The editors will evaluate manuscripts for their intellectual content without regard to the race, gender, religious beliefs, ethnic origin, citizenship, or political philosophy of the author(s).

Confidentiality of the information and ideas contained in the manuscript. The editors will not disclose any information about a manuscript under consideration to anyone other than the author(s) and reviewers.

Ensuring the integrity of the evaluation process. The editors will make every effort to ensure the integrity of the „*double blind*” *peer review* process by not revealing the identity of the author(s) of a manuscript to the reviewers of that manuscript, and vice versa.

Evaluation harmful effects in ethics of scientific research. When evaluating a manuscript for publication, the editors will seek evidence that ethical harms have been minimized in the conduct of the reported research. They will question whether the benefits outweigh the harms in the particular study’s case.

Request for information on compliance of scientific research ethics. *Juridical Tribune Journal* welcomes the submission of manuscripts from any country. *Juridical Tribune Journal* recognizes that laws and regulations regarding research ethics and ethical approval vary worldwide. As such, the editors may need to seek clarification in this regard with the author(s) and to send notifications to institutions responsible for research ethics in the country of the author and / or international organizations active in this field.

Guidelines used by editors. In analyzing of the manuscript, editors will consider compliance the publication requirements set out under [the Author Guidelines for Juridical Tribune Journal](#) and recommendations of the [Guidance for Editors: Research, Audit and Service Evaluations](#) published by *Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE)*. The editors will be guided by [Guidelines for Retracting Articles](#) published by *Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE)* when considering retracting an article published in *Juridical Tribune Journal*. Relations of cooperation between editors and research organizations / institutions that support the journal will be made in accordance with [Cooperation between research institutions and journals on research integrity cases: guidance from the Committee on Publication Ethics \(COPE\)](#) published by *Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE)*.

Editor in chief
Lecturer Ph.D. *Cătălin-Silviu SĂRARU*
catalin.sararu@tribunajuridica.eu